#### Households in Conflict Network School of Social Sciences and Cultural Studies - University of Sussex - Falmer - Brighton - BN1 9SN www.hicn.org

## Long Term Consequences Of Early Childhood **Malnutrition**

Harold Alderman<sup>\*</sup>, John Hoddinott<sup>\*\*</sup> and Bill Kinsey<sup>\*\*\*</sup> J.Hoddinott@cgiar.org

### **HiCN** Working Paper 09

July 2004

Abstract: This paper examines the impact of preschool malnutrition on subsequent human capital formation in rural Zimbabwe using a maternal fixed effects - instrumental variables (MFE-IV) estimator with a long term panel data set. Representations of civil war and drought "shocks" are used to identify differences in preschool nutritional status across siblings. Improvements in height-for-age in preschoolers are associated with increased height as a young adult and number of grades of schooling completed. Had the median pre-school child in this sample had the stature of a median child in a developed country, by adolescence, she would be 3.4 centimeters taller, had completed an additional 0.85 grades of schooling and would have commenced school six months earlier.

Keywords: health; education; shocks; Zimbabwe JEL Codes: I12; I20; O15

Copyright © Harold Alderman, John Hoddinott, Bill Kinsey 2004

<sup>\*</sup> World Bank

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> International Food Policy Research Institute

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> University of Zimbabwe and Free University, Amsterdam

#### 1. Introduction<sup>\*</sup>

Individuals in both developing and developed countries are subject to exogenous shocks. When such events generate variations in consumption – as in cases where households are unable to fully insure against such shocks - they lead to losses of utility. The significance of such losses, from a policy point of view, depends partly on whether such shocks induce path dependence. Where temporary shocks have such long-lasting impacts, utility losses may be much higher. Assessing the impact of such shocks, however, is problematic for two reasons. First, unobservable characteristics correlated with the likelihood of exposure to such initial shocks could account for phenomenon such as scarring. Second, households or individuals might respond to such shocks in ways that mitigate or exacerbate their initial effects.

This paper explores the long-term consequences of shocks on individuals. Specifically, we link exposure to transitory shocks experienced by Zimbabwean children prior to age three to their pre-school nutritional status, as measured by height given age. We then trace the consequence of these early shocks on their subsequent health and education attainments as young adults. Representations of civil war and drought "shocks" for a sample of children living in rural Zimbabwe are used to identify differences in preschool height-for-age across siblings. Maternal fixed effects instrumental variables (MFE-IV) estimates show that improvements in height-for-age in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> We thank Jere Behrman, Hanan Jacoby and John Maluccio, as well as seminar and conference participants at Dalhousie, IFPRI, Manchester, McMaster, Oxford, PAA 2004, Pennsylvania, Toronto and the World Bank for insightful comments on earlier drafts. We gratefully acknowledge funding for survey work from the British Development Division in Central Africa, UNICEF, the former Ministry of Lands, Resettlement and Rural Development, Zimbabwe, FAO, the Nuffield Foundation, ODI, DfID, IFPRI, CSAE Oxford, the Free University, Amsterdam, the Research Board of the University of Zimbabwe and the World Bank. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this paper are entirely those of the authors. They do not necessarily represent the views of the World Bank, its Executive Directors, or the countries they represent.

children under 5 are associated with increased height as a young adult and an increased number of grades of schooling completed as well as being causally associated with starting school at a younger age. The magnitudes of these statistically significant effects are functionally significant as well. Had the median pre-school child in this sample had the stature of a median child in a developed country, by adolescence, she would be 3.4 centimeters taller and had completed an additional 0.85 grades of schooling and would have started school six months earlier. We present calculations that suggest that this loss of stature, schooling and potential work experience results in a loss of lifetime earnings of about 14 percent and that such estimates are likely to be *lower* bounds of the true losses.

As such, the paper speaks to several audiences. First, it contributes to the literature on shocks and consumption smoothing, but unlike much of the literature this paper looks at the impact at the individual rather than household level.<sup>1</sup> Second, it extends the literature on the determinants of human capital formation. There are numerous cross-sectional studies that document associations between pre-school nutritional status and subsequent human capital attainments, see Pollitt (1990), Leslie and Jamison (1990), Behrman (1996) and Grantham-McGregor et al., (1999; especially pp. 65-70). However, as Behrman (1996) notes, many of these studies document *associations* between pre-school malnutrition and subsequent attainments, not *causal* relationships. Preschooler health and subsequent educational attainments *both* reflect household decisions regarding investments in children's human capital. Having reviewed these studies, Behrman (1996, p. 24) writes, "Because associations in cross-sectional data

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Morduch (1995, 1999) and Townsend (1995) review the literature on shocks and consumption smoothing at the household level. Hoddinott and Kinsey (2001) discuss the literature on shocks and individual welfare.

may substantially over- or understate true causal effects, however, much less is known about the subject than is presumed."

Third, the United Nations estimates that one out of every three preschoolers in developing countries - 180 million children under the age of 5 - exhibit at least one manifestation of malnutrition, stunting (United Nations ACC/SCN, 2000).<sup>2</sup> Because improving preschooler health and nutrition are seen to be important development objectives in their own right, many international organizations such as the World Bank are prioritizing improvements in child health and nutrition, see World Bank (2002). These organizations also emphasize increasing schooling attainments and are committed to the Millennium Development Goal of Universal Primary Education by 2015. An implication of our results is that improvements in pre-school nutrition will facilitate meeting the education objectives. Further, if improving pre-school nutritional status enhances the acquisition of knowledge at school, and leads to higher attained heights as adults, these improvements have added value where there exist positive associations between schooling and productivity, and height and productivity.<sup>3</sup>

The paper begins by outlining a simple model and the econometric problems associated with its estimation. After describing the data available to us, we consider

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> That is their heights given their ages are two standard deviations below international norms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fogel (1994) documents that lower attained adult height is associated with increased risk of premature mortality. Behrman and Deolalikar (1989), Deolalikar (1988), Haddad and Bouis (1991), Strauss (1986) and Thomas and Strauss (1997) document positive associations between height and productivity. There are hundreds of studies on the impact of grades of schooling completed on wages, many of which are surveyed in Psacharopoulos (1994) and Rosenzweig (1995). If nutrition affects years of schooling there would be additional consequences of child malnutrition. In addition as reported by Glewwe and Jacoby (1995) – malnutrition may lead to delays in starting school resulting in a delay in entering the labor market (unless the child leaves school early). Such a delay will lead to a loss in lifetime earnings. Evidence on returns to schooling and experience in the labor market for the manufacturing sector in Zimbabwe is found in Bigsten *et al.* (2000).

attrition bias in our sample and the endogeneity of pre-schooler health. Having satisfactorily addressed both concerns, we present the paper's core empirical findings. The final section concludes.

#### 2. The Econometric Problem

The estimates presented below can be interpreted as the determinants of a vector of outcomes that is consistent with investments in human capital as part of a dynamic programming problem solved by the family of a child, subject to the constraints imposed by parental family resources and options in the community available to the individual as s/he ages (Behrman et. al, 2003). Given the specific focus of this paper, we illustrate this approach as follows. We divide a child's life into two periods.<sup>4</sup> Period 1 is the period of investment in the child, say the preschool years while period 2 is the time of an individual's maturation. We presume that the measure of a child's nutritional status, height-for-age in period 1 ( $H_{1k}$ ), reflects parental decisions on investing in his or her health can be denoted as a function of a vector of observable prices, individual and household characteristics ( $Z_{1k}$ ) that determine the level and efficacy of investments in health.

$$H_{lk} = \alpha_{Zl} Z_{lk} + v_{lk} \tag{1}$$

where  $v_{1k} = \varepsilon_H + \varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_1$ 

is a disturbance term with three components:  $\varepsilon_H$ , representing the time invariant home environment and is common to all children in the household (this would capture, for example, parents' tastes and discount rates as well as their ability);  $\varepsilon_k$ , which captures

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This discussion draws heavily on work by Glewwe, Jacoby and King (2001) and Alderman, Behrman, Lavy and Menon (2001).

time invariant child specific effects such as genetic potential; and  $\varepsilon_1$ , a white noise disturbance term. The particular specification is stated in terms of a reduced form rather than as a production function, though the key features regarding inter-temporal correlations of errors holds in either approach.

A linear achievement function for attainment in the second period is given by:  $A_{2k} = \alpha_H H_{1k} + \alpha_{Z2} Z_{2k} + v_{2k}$ (2)
where  $v_{2k} = \eta_H + \eta_k + \eta_2$ 

and  $A_{2k}$  is, say, the educational attainment of child k (realized in period 2),  $Z_{2k}$  is a vector of other prices, individual and household characteristics that influence academic performance – possibly, but not necessarily, with elements common to  $Z_{1k}$ . Like  $v_{1k}$ ,  $v_{2k}$ is a disturbance term with three components:  $\eta_H$ , representing aspects of the home environment which influence schooling and are common to all children in the household (this would capture, for example, parents' attitude towards schooling);  $\eta_k$ , which captures child specific effects such as innate ability and motivation that are not controlled by parents; and  $\eta_2$ , a white noise disturbance term. The basic difficulty with a least squares regression of (2), as noted by Behrman (1996) is the likelihood that  $E(H_{1k}v_{2k}) \neq 0$ because of possible correlation between  $H_{1k}$  and  $\eta_H$  or between  $H_{1k}$  and  $\eta_k$  mediated through either the correlation of household effects or individual effects or both. That is, either  $E(\varepsilon_H \eta_H)\neq 0$  or  $E(\varepsilon_k \eta_k)\neq 0$ .

Such correlations could arise for several reasons. For example, a child with high genetic growth potential will be, relative to her peers, taller in both periods 1 and 2. Conversely, children with innately poor health may be more likely to die between periods 1 and 2, leaving a selected sample of individuals with, on average, better genetic growth

potential. Parents observing outcomes in period 1 may respond in a variety of ways. For example, faced with a short child in period 1, parents might subsequently allocate more food and other health resources to that child, or perhaps encourage greater school effort on the presumption that the child is unlikely to be successful in the manual labour as an adult. In any of these cases, estimates of  $\alpha_H$  using ordinary least squares will be biased. Further, as Glewwe, Jacoby and King (2001) note, household or maternal level fixed effects estimation (also described as a siblings difference model) of (2), while purging the correlation between  $H_{Ik}$  and  $\eta_H$ , would leave unresolved the correlation between  $H_{Ik}$  and  $\eta_k$ . Consequently, the most defensible estimation strategy involves combining maternal fixed effects estimation with instrumental variables to sweep out this remaining correlation. In turn, this requires a longitudinal data set of siblings that also contains information on shocks that are of sufficient magnitude and persistence to affect a child's stature and sufficiently transitory *not* to affect the sibling's stature.

Only a handful of studies that examine the link between child health and school performance control for the fact that both nutritional and educational attainment reflect the same household allocation decisions, though all have limitations when measured up to the stringent identification criteria listed by Glewwe, Jacoby and King, (2001).

Behrman and Lavy (1998) and Glewwe and Jacoby (1995) use cross-sectional data from the 1988-89 Ghanaian Living Standard Measurement Study to examine the relationship between *current* nutritional status and *current* cognitive achievement and the likelihood of delayed primary school enrollment respectively. Both find that the impact of child health on schooling is highly sensitive to the underlying behavioral assumptions and the nature of unobserved variables. Although both studies are carefully carried out,

7

their reliance on a single cross-sectional survey is limiting. The authors cannot utilize direct measurement of pre-school child health. Similarly, they do not have instruments that unambiguously identify factors that might have affected pre-school outcomes yet do not determine schooling attainments themselves.

Glewwe, Jacoby and King (2001) use the Cebu Longitudinal Health and Nutrition Survey, finding that malnourished children enter school later and perform relatively poorly on tests of cognitive achievement.<sup>5</sup> They examine relations between *preschool* nutritional status and subsequent educational attainments using a siblings difference model to control for fixed locality, household, and maternal characteristics and use height-for-age of the older sibling to instrument for differences in siblings' nutritional status. However, data limitations force them to assume that growth in children's height after age two is not correlated with height up to the age of two and that pre- or postnatal health shocks do not affect both the physical or mental development of a child.

Alderman, Behrman, Lavy, and Menon (2001) use a data set that meets many of these requirements described above. They use information on current prices at the time of measurement as the instrument or "shock" variable for pre-school height-for-age. By interacting these with levels of parental education, they induce variability in these shocks at the household level. They find "fairly substantial effects of preschool nutrition on school enrollments" (p. 26). However, they cannot determine whether pre-school nutritional status affects ultimate schooling and health attainments nor do they use household fixed effects.

#### 3. Data

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A related study by Glewwe and King (2001) use these data to indicate the relation of nutrition to IQ.

Given our interest in estimating equation (2) in the specific context of an exploration of the long term consequences of early childhood malnutrition and the problems associated with such estimation, data requirements are high. First, we need data on children's nutritional status as pre-schoolers.<sup>6</sup> Second, we need data on the nutritional status of their siblings at a comparable age. Third, we need to identify shocks that meet the criteria described above. Fourth, we need data on children and their siblings as young adults that are free of attrition bias.

#### *a) The sample*

Our data are drawn from longitudinal surveys of households and children residing in three resettlement areas of rural Zimbabwe. In 1982 one of the authors (Kinsey) constructed an initial sampling frame consisting of all resettlement schemes established in Zimbabwe's three agriculturally most important agro-climatic zones in the first two years of the program. One scheme was selected randomly from each zone (Mupfurudzi, Sengezi and Mutanda), random sampling was then used to select villages within schemes, and in each selected village, an attempt was made to cover all selected households. Approximately 400 households, located in 20 different villages, were subsequently interviewed over the period July 1983 to March 1984. They were re-interviewed in the first quarter of 1987 and annually, during January to April, from 1992 to 2001. In the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Pre-school data are needed because children are at most risk of malnutrition in the early years of life, particularly ages one to three. In this period, children are no longer exclusively breastfeed, they have high nutritional requirements because they are growing quickly, and they are susceptible to infection because their immature immune systems fail to protect them adequately (Martorell, 1997). From age three onwards into the school period, there is evidence that even children from very poor countries will grow as quickly as children in industrialized countries such as the United States or Britain, neither catching-up nor falling further behind (Martorell, 1995, 1999). Thus, the manifestation of nutritional shocks occurs years, if not decades, before investments on human capital are completed.

1983/84 and 1987 rounds, valid measurements<sup>7</sup> on heights and weights for 680 children, who were offspring of the household head and aged 6 months to 6 years were obtained.<sup>8</sup>

The fact the initial surveys, 1983/84 and 1987, were spread out over time is advantageous as it leads to a wide range of birth dates, from September 1978 to September 1986. This was a tumultuous period in Zimbabwe's history. Children born in the late 1970s entered the world during a vicious civil war. Nearly half the sample was born into families that, during this period, were housed in what were euphemistically described as "protected villages". In areas where conflict was most intense, residents were forced to abandon their homesteads and move to these hastily constructed villages with no amenities and restrictions on physical movement. More than 80 per cent of all households reported some adverse affect of the civil war. By mid-1980, with the transition to majority rule complete and starting in 1981, households in our sample began the process of resettlement with this process continuing intermittently until 1983. However, almost immediately after acquiring access to considerably larger land holdings than they had enjoyed in the pre-Independence period, they were affected by two back-toback droughts, in 1982/83 and 1983/84. Circumstances began to improve substantially in the years that followed with better rainfall levels and improvements in service provision, such as credit, extension and health facilities. We argue below that these shocks – the war and the drought – are plausible instruments for initial nutritional status.

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  We exclude six children that had probable errors in either height or age data resulting in a height-for-age z score that was less than -6 or greater than 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> At the household level, attrition is very low, less than 10 per cent over the entire period in which this sample has been surveyed. Hoddinott and Kinsey (2001) explain in detail why this household attrition is so low; two key reasons being that resettled households had to renounce claims to land elsewhere in Zimbabwe and that male heads were not allowed to out-migrate. This latter requirement appears to has resulted in a level of HIV/AIDS infection considerably lower than elsewhere in Zimbabwe.

In February and March 2000, we implemented a survey designed to trace the children measured in 1983/84 and 1987. Of the original sample, 15 children died (2.2% of the original sample), leaving 665 "traceable" children living in 330 households. The survey protocol involved visiting the natal homes of the children measured in 1983/84 and 1987. We encountered no refusals to participate in the survey. There were a few cases where the child was not resident, but lived nearby and was traced to their current residence. In the remaining cases, the parent – often in consultation with other household members – was asked questions regarding the child's educational attainments.

Table 1 provides summary statistics. Table 1a shows that, on average, these children have poor height-for-age relative to a well-nourished reference population. This is indicated by the z score, calculated by standardizing a child's height given age and sex against an international standard of well nourished children. A z score of -1 indicates that given age and sex, the child's height is one standard deviation below the median child in that age/sex group.

Roughly 1 in 4 children are stunted. Table 1b provides information on three attainments – current height, number of grades completed and the child's age when starting school. Zimbabwe's school system consists of seven grades of primary schooling, followed by 4 forms of lower secondary schooling. The vast majority of students attending secondary school do not continue after sitting examinations at the end of their Fourth form. The number of completed grades is the number of primary plus secondary grades completed as of February 2000.<sup>9</sup> Age started school is the difference between the date the child started school and his or her birth date. Table 1c contrasts the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The very few (8 individuals, or 1% of the sample) who had continued in school beyond the fourth form were coded as having completed 12 grades of school.

attainments of two groups of children, those who were stunted as pre-schoolers and those who were not. Children who were stunted as pre-schoolers were shorter, had completed fewer grades of schooling and had started school later. While these differences, which are statistically significant, are suggestive of associations between pre-school nutritional status and subsequent human capital formation, for reasons already described, they cannot be regarded as definitive.

#### b) Potential selectivity biases caused by attrition

Any study using longitudinal data needs to take seriously the possibility that estimates may be biased because of selective sample attrition. In these survey data, such biases emanate from two sources. One relates to the fact that 15 children measured in 1983/84 or 1987 died prior to 2000. If these children were particularly unhealthy, then our estimates based on surviving children will be biased. Our sense is that these biases may not be as severe as one might perceive and that, in practical terms, it is unlikely that much could be done about them. Drawing on the on-going household survey, we examined the causes of death of these children. Our impression is that a variety of causal factors are at work, including such unfortunate instances such as road accidents. There are, fortunately, too few deaths to determine whether there is a systematic pattern. Further, the very few studies that take this into account, such as Pitt and Rosenzweig (1989) find that even in much higher mortality populations (compared to this sample), the impact of mortality selection is minimal. While the average height given age was slightly *higher* for those who died compared to the other children in the sample, a t test does not reject the

12

null hypothesis that initial height-for-age z scores are equal for deceased and currently living children.

For these reasons, biases resulting from selective mortality are not addressed further. The results can be interpreted as the impact of malnutrition on the education and attained heights of survivors. That is, the study looks as the *additional* costs of malnutrition over any contribution to mortality risk.

The second source of potential attrition bias may stem from the fact that it was not always possible to physically trace a child and, thus, information on heights could not be obtained for some children. This differs from the information on attainments - completed grades and age starting school. This data were available for 98.6 per cent of all children. Table 1b indicates that the availability of height data is largely conditioned by whether the child was currently resident in the household. The four most common reasons for outmigration - collectively accounting for about 93 per cent of all case - were marriage, looking for work, attending school and moving "to live with other relatives".

Will this attrition bias our results? Note that the main results reported here are based on maternal level fixed effects estimates. Any attrition resulting from maternal, household, or locality characteristics is thus swept out by differencing across siblings (Ziliak and Kniesner, 1998). However, since attrition may affect sibling pairs we also look at indicators of selective sample loss. The findings presented in Table 2a compare the unconditional mean values of three child characteristics – initial height-for-age, age and sex – between sub-samples where height as an adult was collected and was not obtained. The comparison for attrition on height indicates that we were more likely to

13

measure boys' heights, the heights of younger children and individuals with poorer initial height-for-age. All differences in means are statistically significant.

Following the methods set out in Fitzgerald, Gottschalk and Moffitt (1998) and Alderman et al. (2001), we estimated a probit to determine whether there was attrition based on observable variables. This is reported in Table 2b. The dependent variable equals 1 if the attainment (height or examination score) is observed in 2000, 0 otherwise. In specification (1), only initial height-for-age (that is, in the language of Fitzgerald et al, the lagged outcome variable) is included as a regressor. In specification (2), child characteristics (age and sex), maternal education and dummy variables denoting locality were also included. With the addition of these controls, however, there is no longer a statistically significant relationship between initial height-for-age and subsequent measurement of these attainments.<sup>10</sup> Especially notable is that, relative to the omitted resettlement scheme, Mutanda, a child was more likely to be measured if he or she originated from either Mupfurudzi or Sengezi, the marginal effects being 25 and 10 per cent respectively. Mutanda has the worst agricultural potential of these three areas. Further, amongst non-resident children originally from Mutanda, just over 27 per cent had out-migrated because they had married, as opposed to 14 and 5 per cent in Mupfurudzi and Sengezi respectively. This suggests that the poorer agro-climatic conditions in Mutanda reduces the likelihood of finding the child in the 2000 follow-up survey because female children are more likely to marry earlier and leave the parental household.

Lastly, we also estimated the determinants of initial height-for-age z score separately for children traced, and not traced, in the follow up survey. We do not reject a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Adding additional maternal or paternal characteristics does not change this finding.

null hypothesis that the coefficients of regressions explaining this initial nutritional status differ across these two sub-samples. As Becketti, Gould, Lillard and Welch (1988) show, such results provide further support for our claim that attrition bias does not affect these results.

To conclude, we surmise that biases resulting from selective mortality are likely to be minimal, though we cannot completely rule these out. Conditional on this, we note that we have information for virtually the entire sample of grade completion and age at which schooling commenced. Moreover, the impact of attrition resulting from maternal, household, or locality characteristics will be swept out by differencing across siblings. Lastly, although an initial comparison of means suggests that there is some selection bias associated with obtaining data on attained heights, this disappears when we condition on a number of fixed characteristics, notably location.

#### 4. Findings

#### *a) Estimation strategy*

We estimate equation (2) with three measures of attainments: height (measured in centimeters), number of grades attained, and child's age (in years) when she started school using a maternal fixed effects – "siblings difference"- instrumental variables (MFE-IV) estimator. Sibling differences sweeps out any correlation between  $H_{1k}$  and  $\eta_{H}$ . Instrumental variables addresses the potential correlation between  $H_{1k}$  and  $\eta_k$ . Addressing this requires that we find instruments that affect  $H_{1k}$ , vary across children within the same household, and are sufficiently transitory *not* to affect  $A_{2k}$ . That is, we will need some elements of  $Z_{1k}$  that are not contained in  $Z_{2k}$ .

We identify two shocks: the negative shock resulting from the war period; and the negative shock resulting from the 1982-84 drought. Both are plausibly linked to differences in siblings' height-for-age yet are unlikely to have persistent effects on outcomes observed subsequently.<sup>11</sup> Although all household members face the shock at the same calendar year, the variables differ for the purpose of model identification since we specify the identifying variables in terms of a shock at a given age for the individual. Note that we do not argue that either of these two shocks only affect nutrition – clearly war, even war in distant parts of the country, affects the economy as a whole.

The MFE-IV approach looks at the *differential* impact of shocks on siblings. Thus, the time it took the local economy to recover is not a factor in the analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Note that it is possible that given these shocks, parents might subsequently engage in compensatory actions. Our first stage estimates are the effects of these shocks *net* of such parental actions.

However, for the record a reconstruction program to fix schools damaged during the war was completed prior to 1986, as was the implementation of a government decision to hire additional teachers so as to reduce class size in primary schools. School fees for primary school were abolished in September 1980 and the expansion of the availability of secondary schools was largely complete by 1986 (Zimbabwe, Government of, 1998). We contend therefore (and provide supportive evidence below) that these shocks affect the relative long term differences in siblings mainly through the impact on short term nutritional vulnerability. There is a large literature, surveyed in Hoddinott and Kinsey (2001), emphasizing that such shocks have their largest effects on children younger than 36 months.<sup>12</sup> Consequently, we construct two "child-specific" shock variables. The first is the log of number of days child was living prior to 18 August 1980. This captures all the "shocks" associated with the war and the immediate post-Independence period. The second is a "1982-84 drought shock" dummy variable. Recall that this drought was spread out over a two-year period (and that many of the households in the sample had only just been resettled prior to the shock) and that the first survey was spread out between July 1983 and March 1984. Hoddinott and Kinsey (2001) demonstrate that in rural Zimbabwe, the age range of 12-24 months is the one where drought shocks seem to have their greatest impact on kids' height-for-age. Hence, this variable takes on a value of 1 if: the child was observed in 1983 and was between 12 and 24 months; or was observed in 1984 and was between 12 and 36 months; and equals 0 otherwise.

#### b) Instrument validity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Also see Jensen (2000).

Table 3 presents estimates for the determinants of initial height-for-age z score using maternal fixed effects. The first two columns of Table 3 show that when entered individually, both civil war and drought shocks negatively affect initial height-for-age z scores. The third column reports the results of including both shock variables. Again they are correctly signed – greater exposure to civil war affects child height adversely as does drought – and are significant at standard levels. Bound, Jaeger and Baker (1995) make the important argument that using instruments with low correlation with the endogenous variable can result in two stage least squares parameter estimates with significant levels of bias relative to that obtained via simple ordinary least squares. For example, they show that with 10 instruments and an F statistic of 1, IV estimates would still have almost half the bias of an OLS estimate. The F statistic on these shock variables is 7.55; with this value, Bound, Jaeger and Baker (1995) show that the bias of our IV results will be less than 2% of simple OLS estimates. The last column reports the results of including both shock variables as well as additional controls for year of observation and whether the child was born in the resettlement area. Even with these additional controls, the two shocks have a statistically significant impact on initial height-for-age.

Before continuing, however, it is important to return to a possible objection to the use of these drought and war shocks as instruments. Implicitly, we are assuming that these shocks only operate through their impact on pre-school nutritional status. But the ending of the war involved school/road reconstruction as well as safe travel so thus improving the accessibility of schools for the younger sib relative to the older sib. Arguably, wars leave psychological scars, induce geographical dislocations and it might hard to believe that such effects only work through initial heights. For these reasons, we

18

construct an over-identification test as outlined in Wooldridge (2002, p. 123). We estimate our MFE-IV model for each attainment and extract the residuals. After estimating maternal fixed effects regressions where the dependent variables are these residuals and the regressors are all exogenous variables, we calculate the chi-squared over-identification test statistic as the sample size multiplied by the R<sup>2</sup> calculated for these regressions. We do not reject the null hypothesis that these instruments are uncorrelated with our three outcome variables at the 90 per cent confidence level implying that the identifying variables have no influence on the outcome variables except via the measure of nutritional status.<sup>13</sup> As such our instruments meet the criteria described earlier. They are demonstrated to be of sufficient magnitude and persistence to affect a child's stature,  $H_{1k}$ ; as well as to vary across children so that they do not have a comparable affect on the stature of the child's sibling. Moreover, they are apparently sufficiently transitory not to affect subsequent attainments,  $A_{2k}$ .

#### c) The impact of pre-schooler height for age on adolescent attainments

Having satisfied ourselves that our instruments are valid, we turn to Table 4 that reports the results of estimating the impact of pre-schooler height for age on adolescent height. Four estimates are reported: a "naïve" least squares estimate, with controls for child (age and sex) and maternal characteristics (age, education and height); instrumental variables with village fixed effects, a maternal fixed effects estimate which, as noted above,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> In some case this over-identification test will fail to reject the null when both instruments are poor because it, in effect, tests the validity of one instrument conditional on the validity of the other instrument(s). As shown in the first two columns of Table 3, both instruments are valid and thus this is not an issue here.

eliminates correlation between  $H_{Ik}$  and  $\varepsilon_{H}$ ;<sup>14</sup> and a maternal fixed effects - instrumental variables estimate, using the "shock" variables described above as instruments, to eliminate both the correlation between  $H_{Ik}$  and  $\varepsilon_{H}$  and between  $H_{Ik}$  and  $\varepsilon_{k}$ . In addition, we include controls for year of first measurement as well as a dummy variable denoting whether the child was born prior to the household being resettled.

The first row of Table 4 indicates that better pre-school nutritional status is associated with greater attained height in adolescence. The "naïve" least squares results and the maternal fixed effects estimates are roughly comparable in magnitude and statistically significant. Similarly, the two models using fixed effects are comparable; controlling for the endogeneity of initial height-for-age, the impact of pre-school nutritional status increases though the equality of parameters between the MFE and preferred MFE-IV results cannot be rejected at the 10% level.

As indicated in Table 5, increased height-for-age is associated with a greater number of grades attained in the naive models as well as with the MFE-IV estimator. The magnitude of this impact is affected by whether we control for correlation between  $H_{1k}$  and  $\varepsilon_k$ . Again, the MFE-IV estimates provide a larger estimate of impact,.<sup>15</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Rosenzweig and Wolpin (1988) argue that assessment of impact can be hampered by considerations of selective migration. There are strong *a priori* grounds for believing that this will not affect these results. Land access, not human capital considerations, was the driving force behind resettlement for these households. Even if one does not accept this view, then noting that concerns regarding selective migration embodies concerns regarding correlations between regressors and fixed, unobservable locality characteristics. An attraction of the MFE and IV-MFE estimators is that the impact of such characteristics is differenced out.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> It is worth considering as to why the use of instrumental variables increases the parameter estimates for both stature and schooling. In addition to reducing attenuation bias from measurement error in the regressors, our estimation strategy is analogous to that described by Imbens and Angrist (1994), Card (2001) and Giles, Park and Zhang (2003); we use cohort specific shocks as instruments and assume that these are independent of individual characteristics. One interpretation, again analogous to these three papers, is that there may be heterogeneity in returns to preschool nutrition. In keeping with Card (2001, pp. 1142-1143), if individuals with relatively high marginal returns to preschool nutrition face relatively higher

Table 6 looks at the relationship between pre-school heights and the age at which children begin school. Taller children start school slightly younger, even when we use the MFE-IV estimator. Note that as a specification check, we included child's month of birth – a plausible determinant of the age of school initiation - as either an instrument for height-for-age or as an additional regressor but doing so did not alter this result.

The magnitudes of these impacts are meaningful. The mean initial height-for-age z score is –1.25. If this population had the nutritional status of well-nourished children, the median z score would be 0. Applying the MFE-IV parameter estimates reported in Tables 4 and 5, this would result in an additional 3.4 centimeters of height in adolescence, an additional 0.85 grades of schooling and a reduction in the age at which children start school by six months.

These magnitudes can also be expressed in terms of lost future earnings. Using the values for the returns to education and age/job experience in the Zimbabwean manufacturing sector provided by Bigsten *et al.* (2000, Table 5), the loss of schooling and the increased delay in starting translates into a 14 per cent reduction in lifetime earnings. This estimate are likely to be lower bounds. Fogel (1994) presents evidence that links short stature amongst males to the early onset of chronic diseases and to premature mortality. Although comparable evidence from developing countries does not yet exist, Fogel's evidence is consistent with a view that shorter adult stature reduces lifetime earnings either by reducing life expectancy – and thus the number of years that can be worked - or by reductions in physical productivity brought about by the early onset of chronic diseases. Further, these estimates neglect other long-term consequences of these

costs of improving their pre-school nutritional status, then our IV estimates identify individuals with higher returns to preschool nutrition than average.

shocks. For example, taller and better educated women experience fewer complications during child birth, typically have children with higher birthweights and experience lower risks of child and maternal mortality (World Bank, 1993).

#### d) More on robustness

We have presented MFE-IV estimates that show a causal link between pre-school anthropometric status and subsequent health and schooling attainments. We have argued that our approach is robust to concerns regarding potential attrition bias and instrument validity. In this sub-section, we consider a number of potential objections to these results that might call their robustness into question.

One concern might be that these estimates do not control for birth order. However, Horton's (1988) detailed exploration of the impact of birth order on nutritional status shows that higher-order children are more likely to have poorer nutritional status because of competition with siblings for resources, maternal depletion and a greater likelihood of infection. For this reason, our results cannot be attributed to a birth order effect - by construction, children observed in 1983/84 are of *lower* birth order.

A related concern is that we do not account for changes in fertility or birthspacing. For example, it could be argued that during the civil war, in the period prior to resettlement or during droughts, households attempted to avoid pregnancies or increase birth spacing. Increased spacing would improve the health of children who were born because, for example, they would face less competition for maternal or household resources. However, our specifications include dummy variables for whether the child was born prior to resettlement as well as dummy variables indicating the year in which

22

the child was observed as a pre-schooler. These dummy variables pick up time varying effects specific to children in different cohorts, including temporary changes in birth spacing.

A third concern is that the sample includes young adolescents who are continuing to grow physically and who may continue to attend school beyond the date of observation. Note, however, that by construction, there is no child in the sample younger than 13 ½ years. Past this age, at least in well-nourished populations, there is little growth in a girl of median stature and about 10 cm of growth in a boy of median stature (see Hamill et al, 1979). Consequently, one way of addressing this concern is to re-estimate the results presented in Tables 4, 5 and 6 but replace current age with current age less 14 and age less 14 interacted with being a boy. When we do so, the impact of pre-school nutrition on stature, schooling and age starting school remain unchanged.

A further concern is that our specification does not take into account nonlinearities. For example, children's growth velocity slows with age yet only a linear specification of age is included in Tables 4, 5 and 6. A simple way of addressing this concern is to replace current age with log current age. When we do so, the results we obtain are virtually indistinguishable from those already reported.<sup>16</sup>

As a further check, we re-estimate our three outcomes using a slightly different specification. In addition to our measure of pre-school nutritional status, we include child sex, age at first measurement (in months), duration of time that passed between first measurement and re-interview in 2000 and the interaction between age and duration. Age and duration of observation are expected to be associated with increased attainments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> In preliminary work, we included a quadratic for age but this produces nonsensical non-linearities. The estimated parameters imply that children shrink past age 16 and that number of grades attained is maximized around age 8.

while the interaction term captures potential non-linearities. We also include dummy variable that equals one for individuals who turn seven before January 1986. Suppose despite the results of our specification tests reported above, one still did not believe that we had valid instruments; rather, our instruments were merely picking up a cohort effect associated with the war, the drought and access to schooling. If this is the case, the combination of controls for non-linearities and the inclusion of a cohort effect should destroy the results obtained above.<sup>17</sup>

We begin by noting that the F statistic on the "relevance" of instruments falls to 5.36 with this re-specification. *However*, the estimates continue to pass the uncorrelatedness tests. Further, the MFE-IV results are *larger* in magnitude for two outcomes, grades attained and age starting school – the latter significant at the 11% confidence level – and slightly smaller (but not significantly different) in the case of stature.

Lastly, another way of exploring the validity of our results is to determine whether there was any attenuation or reduction in the impact of pre-school nutritional status on stature over time. Specifically, we regressed growth in stature (i.e. the change in height between 1983/84 or 1987 and 2000) against initial height – instrumented using the civil war and drought shock variables described above – as well as child sex, initial age (in years), duration of observation (in years) and the interaction of these latter two terms. These control variables absorb the marginal impact of changes in height associated with age (there is less growth as children get older), sex (boys have higher growth potential

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> We also explored this possibility in another way. One could argue that one consequence of the civil war was a disruption in access to health facilities leading to poorer access to vaccines needed in early childhood which would affect subsequent adult health, and via links from health to schooling, on education attainments. Our data include information on vaccination status; neither exposure to the civil war nor the drought have any statistically significant effect on the likelihood a child was vaccinated.

than girls) and duration of observation (more growth is expected with a longer period of observation). A coefficient on initial height that is not significantly different from zero would indicate that growth subsequent to initially measured height was independent of that initial height. This would imply no reduction in the impact of the initial shocks over time. A coefficient on initial height not significantly different from negative one would indicate that these shocks have no long term effect in the sense that attained height as an adolescent (H<sub>1</sub>) was independent of initial height (H<sub>0</sub>). That is, since  $[H_1-H_0] = \alpha + \beta H_0 + \gamma X$ , when  $\beta = -1$  we are left with  $H_1 = \alpha + \gamma X$ . This is sometimes described as "complete catch-up", see Hoddinott and Kinsey (2001) for a further discussion.

As before, we estimate this relationship using an instrumental variables – maternal fixed effects estimator, obtaining the following results (absolute values of t statistics in parentheses):<sup>18</sup>

 $[H_1-H_0] = 127.03 + (-0.571) (H_0) + (3.674) (boy) + (9.462)(initial age)$ 

(0.74)	(2.68)	(4.17)	(3.39)
+ (0.725)(	duration of obs	ervation) + (-0.	712)(age x duration of observation)
(0.05)		(3.2	7)

Our coefficient on initial height of -0.571 indicates that we can reject both the hypothesis that there are no permanent consequences from early malnutrition and also the hypothesis that there is no catch up growth at all after an early nutritional shock controlling for maternal, household and community fixed effects as well as the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Year of initial observation and a dummy variable indicating whether the child was born prior to being resettled are also included but not reported.

endogeneity of initial height.<sup>19</sup> Note that altering this specification, for example by adding a quadratic term for duration of observation, or interacting duration of observation with child sex does not substantively alter the coefficient on initial height. Moreover, we considered the possibility that if malnourished pre-schoolers experienced a more prolonged pubertal growth spurt, as has been suggested in the nutrition literature (Martorell, Khan and Schroeder, 1994), this might be an underestimate of catch-up. However, restricting the sample to slightly older children – those aged 16 or older in 2000 – does not change the magnitude of the parameter on initial height.

#### 5. Conclusions

Using longitudinal data from rural Zimbabwe, we have shown that improved pre-schooler nutritional status, as measured by height given age, is associated with increased height as a young adult, a greater number of grades of schooling completed, and an earlier age at which the child starts school. The use of IV-MFE estimates means that these results are robust to unobservable maternal fixed effects as well as correlations between initial nutritional status and child-specific unobservables.

As noted in the introduction, it is widely recognized that improving preschooler health and nutrition are important development objectives in their own right. Having shown that improved pre-schooler nutritional status enhances the acquisition of schooling, and leads to higher attained heights as adults, then these improvements also have instrumental value where there existed positive associations between schooling and

 $<sup>^{19}</sup>$  The interaction term implies that the older the child the less increment to growth from an additional year. The marginal effect on growth of an additional year – that is, an increase in duration – is 9.462–0.712 (initial age).

productivity, and height and productivity. The determinants of pre-school heights include 'shocks' such as war and drought. While it is possible that parents may undertake compensatory actions in the aftermath of these events, such actions are not sufficient to prevent these 'temporary' events having long lasting impacts.<sup>20</sup> As such, our findings strengthen the value of "forward looking" interventions that mitigate the impacts of shocks (see Holzmann, 2001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> We do not have the data to directly test for the presence of such compensatory actions. While the results in section 4d showing that lost growth velocity is only partially recovered is somewhat consistent with such compensatory actions, we also note that poorly nourished children both start school later *and* attain fewer grades of schooling.

#### References

Alderman, H., J. Behrman, V. Lavy and R. Menon, 2001. Child health and school enrollment: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Human Resources* 36: 185-205.

Alderman, H., J. Behrman, H-P. Kohler, J. Maluccio and S. Watkins, 2001. Attrition in longitudinal household survey data: Some tests for three developing country samples. *Demographic Research* 5:78-124.

Becketti, S., W. Gould, L. Lillard and F. Welch, 1988. The panel study of income dynamics after fourteen years: An evaluation. *Journal of Labor Economics* 6: 472-492.

Behrman, J., 1996. The impact of health and nutrition on education. *World Bank Research Observer* 11: 23-37.

Behrman, J. and V. Lavy, 1998. Child health and schooling achievement: Association, causality and household allocations. Mimeo, University of Pennsylvania.

Behrman, J., and A. Deolalikar, 1989. Wages and Labor Supply in Rural India: The Role of Health, Nutrition and Seasonality. *Causes and Implications of Seasonal Variability in Household Food Security*. editor David E. Sahn, 107-18. Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press.

Behrman, J., J. Hoddinott, J. Maluccio, R. Martorell, A. Quisumbing and A.R. Stein, 2003. The Impact of Experimental Nutritional Interventions on Education into Adulthood in Rural Guatemala: Preliminary Longitudinal Analysis. Mimeo, International Food Policy Research Institute.

Bigsten, A. *et al.*, 2000. Rates of return on physical and human capital in Africa's manufacturing sector. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 48: 801-828.

Bound, J., D. Jaeger and R. Baker, 1995. Problems with instrumental variables estimation when the correlation between the instruments and the endogenous explanatory variables is weak. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 90: 443-450.

Card, D., 2001. Estimating the return to schooling: Progress on some persistent econometric problems. *Econometrica* 69: 1127-1160.

Deolalikar, A., 1988. Nutrition and Labor Productivity in Agriculture: Estimates for Rural South India. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 70: 406-13.

Fitzgerald, J., P. Gottschalk and R. Moffitt, 1998. An analysis of sample attrition in panel data. *Journal of Human Resources* 33: 251-299.

Fogel, R., 1994. Economic growth, population theory and physiology: The bearing of long-term processes on the making of economic policy. *American Economic Review* 84: 369-395.

Giles, J., A. Park and J. Zhang, 2003. The great proletarian Cultural Revolution, disruptions to education and returns to schooling in urban China. Mimeo, Michigan State University.

Glewwe, P. and H. Jacoby, 1995. An economic analysis of delayed primary school enrollment and childhood malnutrition in a low income country. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 77: 156-169.

Glewwe, P. and E. King, 2001. The Impact of Early Childhood Nutritional Status on Cognitive Development: Does the Timing of Malnutrition Matter. *World Bank Economic Review* 15:81-114.

Glewwe, P., H. Jacoby and E. King, 2001. Early childhood nutrition and academic achievement: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Public Economics* 81: 345-368.

Grantham-McGregor, S., L. Fernald and K. Sethuraman, 1999. Effects of health and nutrition on cognitive and behavioural development in children in the first three years of life: Part 1: Low birthweight, breastfeeding and protein-energy malnutrition. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin* 20: 53-75

Haddad, L. and H. Bouis, 1991. The Impact of Nutritional Status on Agricultural Productivity: Wage Evidence from the Philippines. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 53: 45-68.

Hamill, P. et al., 1979, "Physical growth: National Center for Health Statistics percentiles" *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 32, pp. 607-629.

Hoddinott, J. and B. Kinsey, 2001. Child growth in the time of drought. *Oxford Bulletin* of Economics and Statistics 63: 409-436.

Holzmann, R., 2001. Risk and Vulnerability: The forward looking role of social protection in a globalizing world. Mimeo, World Bank, Washington D.C.

Horton, S., 1988. Birth Order and Child Nutritional Status: Evidence from the Philippines. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 36: 341-354.

Imbens, G. and J. Angrist, 1994. Identification and estimation of local average treatment effects. *Econometrica* 62: 467-476.

Jensen, R., 2000. Agricultural Volatility and Investments in Children. *American Economic Review*. 90(2): 399-404.

Leslie, J. and D. Jamison,1990. Health and nutrition considerations in education planning, 1. Educational consequences of health problems among school-age children. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin* 12: 191-203.

Martorell, R., 1995. Results and implications of the INCAP follow-up study. *Journal of Nutrition* 125(suppl.): 1127S-1138S.

Martorell, R.,1997. Undernutrition during pregnancy and early childhood and its consequences for cognitive and behavioural development in M.E. Young (ed) *Early childhood development: Investing in our children's future* (Elsevier: Amsterdam).

Martorell, R.,1999. The nature of child malnutrition and its long-term implications. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin* 19: 288-292.

Martorell, R., K.L. Khan and D. Schroeder, 1994. Reversibility of stunting: Epidemiological findings in children from developing countries. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 48 (Suppl): S45-S57.

Murdoch, J., 1995. Income smoothing and consumption smoothing. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 9: 103-14.

Murdoch, J., 1999. Between the market and state: Can informal insurance patch the safety net? *World Bank Research Observer* 14:187-207.

Pollitt, E.1990. Malnutrition and infections in the classroom: Summary and conclusions. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin* 12: 178-190.

Pitt, M. and M. Rosenzweig, 1989. The selectivity of fertility and the determinants of human capital investments: Parametric and semi-parametric estimates. Brown University, Dept. of Economics working paper 89-30.

Psacharopoulos, G., 1994. Returns to Investment in Education: A Global Update. *World Development* 22: 1325-44.

Rosenzweig, M., 1995. Why Are There Returns in Schooling? *American Economic Review* 85(2): 153-58.

Rosenzweig, M. and K. Wolpin, 1988. Migration selectivity and the effects of public programs. *Journal of Public Economics* 37: 265-289.

Strauss, J., 1986. Does Better Nutrition Raise Farm Productivity? *Journal of Political Economy* 94: 297-320.

Thomas, D. and J. Strauss, 1997. Health and wages: Evidence on men and women in urban Brazil. *Journal of Econometrics* 77: 159-186.

Townsend, R., 1995. Consumption insurance: An evaluation of risk-bearing systems in low-income countries. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 9: 83-102.

United Nations ACC/SCN, 2000. 4<sup>th</sup> Report on the World Nutrition Situation. (United Nations, New York, in collaboration with the International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington D.C.)

Wooldridge, J., 2000. *Econometric analysis of cross section and panel data* (MIT Press, Cambridge MA).

World Bank, 1993. *World Development Report: Investing in health*, Oxford University Press, New York.

World Bank, 2002. Ten things you never knew about the World Bank. URL <u>http://www.worldbank.org/tenthings/tenthings.pdf</u>, accessed 21 June 2002.

Ziliak, J. and T. Kneiser, 1998. The importance of sample attrition in life cycle labor supply estimation. *Journal of Human Resources* 33: 507-530.

Zimbabwe, Government of, 1998. *Education Statistics Report*. Central Statistical Office, Harare.

	Mean	Standard deviation
Height-for-age z score	-1.25	1.46
% Children stunted	27.8%	0.45
Age (months)	39.9	21.7
% Children male	49.2%	0.50

### Table 1a: Descriptive statistics on children surveyed in 1983/84 and 1987

# Table 1b: Descriptive statistics on outcome measures of educational attainments and height on children surveyed in 2000, by residency

	Full sample	Resident children	Non-resident children
Number of children in this category	665	359	306
Mean height (in centimeters)	161.1	161.4	158.3
Standard deviation, height	(389) 9.2	(359) 9.0	(30) 11.8
Mean completed grades	8.6 (661)	8.4 (359)	8.8 (302)
Standard deviation, completed grades	1.9	1.9	2.0
Mean age start school (years)	7.2 (656)	7.2 (359)	7.4 (297)
Standard deviation, age start school	1.4	1.2	1.5

#### Notes:

1. Italicized numbers in parentheses are sample sizes for each attainment.

### Table 1c: Mean attainments of stunted and non-stunted children

	Malnourished children (stunted)	Non-malnourished (not stunted)
Height (in centimeters)	157.7	162.7
Completed grades	9.9	10.8
Age start school (years)	7.6	7.1

	Height measured	Height not measured	T statistic on difference in means
Pre-school height for age z score	-1.35	-1.11	2.11**

43.4

38%

37.5

57%

3.48\*\*

5.08\*\*

#### Table 2a: Testing for selective attrition, comparison of means

Age (months) at first interview

Per cent children, male

# Table 2b: Testing for selective attrition using Fitzgerald, Gottschalk and Moffitt method

	Height measured in 2000	
	(1)	(2)
Initial height-for-age z score	-0.071	-0.051
	(1.91)*	(1.06)
Age at first interview	_	-0.010
-		(2.77)**
Child is boy	-	0.614
-		(6.47)**
Maternal schooling, years	-	-0.025
		(1.20)
Child from Mupfurudzi	-	0.787
-		(4.12)**
Child from Sengezi	-	0.303
2		(1.97)**

Notes:

 Sample is pre-schooler children of the household head who, when measured in 1983/84 or 1987 had height-for-age z scores between -6 and +6 and were still alive as of February 2000.
 Models in Table 2b were estimated as probits, with standard errors robust to clustered sample design.

3. Numbers in parentheses are absolute values of z statistics.

4. Sample sizes in Table 2b are: 665, column (1); 612, column (2).

5. \* Significant at the 10% level; \*\* significant at the 5% level.

Variable	Civil War Shock with additional controls	Drought Shock with additional controls	Civil War and drought shock	Civil War and drought shock with additional controls
Exposure to Civil war (log of number of days child was living prior to 18 August 1980)	-0.035 (2.41)**		-0.048 (3.64)**	-0.049 (3.17)**
1982-84 Drought shock (Child was exposed to the 1982-84 drought when aged between 12-36 months)		-0.729 (2.24)**	-0.631 (3.16)**	-0.576 (2.47)**
F statistic on significance of "shocks"	5.83**	5.01**	7.55**	6.01**

 
 Table 3: Maternal fixed effects estimates of the impact of shocks on child height-forage

Notes:

1. Dependent variable is child height-for-age z score.

Sample is pre-schooler children of the household head who, when measured in 1983/84 or 1987 had height-for-age z scores between -6 and +6 and were still alive as of February 2000.
 Numbers in parentheses are absolute values of t statistics.

4. All specifications include child sex and age as controls. Additional controls reported in last column are dummies for year of first measurement and whether child was born prior to resettlement. These latter controls are not statistically significant when both civil war and drought shocks are included.

5. \* Significant at the 10% level; \*\* significant at the 5% level.

6. Sample size is 577.

#### **Table 4: Determinants of adolescent height**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	"Naïve" village	Instrumental	"Naïve"	Instrumental
	fixed effects	variables,	maternal fixed	variables,
		village fixed	effects	maternal
		effects		fixed effects
Child height for	1.730	2.679	1.312	2.677
age z score	(8.38)**	(2.05)**	(2.90)**	(1.98)**
Boy	3.508	3.804	4.065	4.217
2	(4.41)**	(4.50)**	(4.38)**	(3.99)**
Current age,	2.954	2.973	3.391	3.409
years	(6.42)**	(5.89)**	(10.42)**	(10.87)**
$R^2$	0.597	0.576	0.453	0.458

Notes:

1. Sample is pre-schooler children of the household head who, when measured in 1983/84 or 1987 had height-for-age z scores between -6 and +6 and were still alive as of February 2000. 2. For naïve village fixed effects and instrumental variables, village fixed effects estimates, maternal age, education and height are included as additional controls. In all specifications, dummies for year of first measurement and whether child was born prior to resettlement are included as controls.

3. Numbers in parentheses are absolute values of t statistics (columns 1-3) and z statistics (column 4).

4. Standard errors for the naïve village fixed effects and instrumental variables, village fixed effects estimates are robust to clustered (village) sample design.

5. \* Significant at the 10% level; \*\* significant at the 5% level.

6. Sample size is 340.

#### Table 5: Determinants of number of grades attained

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	"Naïve" village	Instrumental	"Naïve"	Instrumental
	fixed effects	variables,	maternal fixed	variables,
		village fixed	effects	maternal
		effects		fixed effects
Child height for	0.222	0.271	0.155	0.678
age z score	(3.64)**	(0.95)	(2.77)**	(2.13)**
Boy	0.011	0.026	0.189	0.258
·	(0.10)	(0.20)	(1.49)	(1.74)*
Current age,	0.468	0.468	0.527	0.498
years	(6.35)**	(6.25)**	(11.79)**	(9.38)**
R <sup>2</sup>	0.459	0.459	0.281	0.226

Notes:

1. Sample is pre-schooler children of the household head who, when measured in 1983/84 or 1987 had height-for-age z scores between -6 and +6 and were still alive as of February 2000. 2. For naïve village fixed effects and instrumental variables, village fixed effects estimates, maternal age, education and height are included as additional controls. In all specifications, dummies for year of first measurement and whether child was born prior to resettlement are included as controls.

3. Numbers in parentheses are absolute values of t statistics (columns 1-3) and z statistics (column 4).

4. Standard errors for the naïve village fixed effects and instrumental variables, village fixed effects estimates are robust to clustered (village) sample design.

5. \* Significant at the 10% level; \*\* significant at the 5% level.

6. Sample size is 569.

#### **Table 6: Determinants of age starting school**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	"Naïve" village	Instrumental	"Naïve"	Instrumental
	fixed effects	variables,	maternal fixed	variables,
		village fixed	effects	maternal
		effects		fixed effects
Child height for	-0.267	-0.206	-0.165	-0.400
age z score	(5.92)**	(1.42)	(3.54)**	(1.65)*
Boy	0.311	0.325	0.248	0.218
	(4.34)**	(3.37)**	(2.34)**	(1.91)*
Current age,	0.197	0.191	0.214	0.227
years	(4.91)**	(4.50)**	(5.80)**	(5.60)**
$R^2$	0.325	0.303	0.098	0.098

Notes:

 Sample is pre-schooler children of the household head who, when measured in 1983/84 or 1987 had height-for-age z scores between -6 and +6 and were still alive as of February 2000.
 For naïve village fixed effects and instrumental variables, village fixed effects estimates, maternal age, education and height are included as additional controls.

3. Numbers in parentheses are absolute values of t statistics (columns 1-3) and z statistics (column 4).

4. Standard errors for the naïve village fixed effects and instrumental variables, village fixed effects estimates are robust to clustered (village) sample design.

5. \* Significant at the 10% level; \*\* significant at the 5% level.

6. Sample size is 555.

		Dependent variable	
	Height as adolescent	Grades attained	Age started school
Child height for age	2.981	0.743	-0.456
z score	(2.20)**	(2.07)**	(1.61)
Boy	4.002	0.190	0.192
	(4.19)**	(1.26)	(1.63)
Age at first	1.012	0.098	0.011
measurement, months	(3.93)**	(3.06)**	(0.44)
Duration of	4.517	0.673	0.002
observation	(5.57)**	(5.84)**	(0.02)
Age x duration of	-0.697	-0.057	0.009
observation	(3.04)**	(2.02)**	(0.39)
Turned seven	-2.118	0.139	-0.260
before January 1986	(0.43)	(0.29)	(0.69)
$R^2$	0.466	0.212	0.150

# Table 7: Instrumental variables, maternal fixed effects estimates using alternative specification

Notes:

1. Sample is pre-schooler children of the household head who, when measured in 1983/84 or 1987 had height-for-age z scores between -6 and +6 and were still alive as of February 2000.

2. Numbers in parentheses are absolute values of z statistics.

3. \* Significant at the 10% level; \*\* significant at the 5% level.